UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,783	09/23/2003	Miroslav Smriga	241244US0CONT	9560	
22850 7590 03/05/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			ROBERTS, LEZAH		
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1612			
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/05/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10	/667,783	SMRIGA ET AL.				
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
		LE	ZAH W. ROBERTS	1612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN T	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. ututory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 08 Decen	aber 2009					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		secution as to the	e merits is			
- / 🗀	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-10,14-26 and 30-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15 and 24-</u>	-						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5-10, 14, 16-23 and 3</u>	0-32 is/are reie	cted.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	- Evaminar						
•	-		d or h) Objected to by the F	Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,			
·	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	by the Exami	ion reco and alacened emec	, todori or formi	10 102.			
	<u>-</u>	for foreign pric	rity under 25 LLC C S 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
· .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	or foreign prio	nty under 55 0.5.C. § 119(a))-(u) or (i).				
ارم	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	w.)							
Attachmen			4) Intonvious Commercians	/PTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed December 8, 2009, have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant has elected anxiety disorders in the reply filed October 25, 2006.

During the course of Examination, this species has been cancelled and the Examiner extended prosecution to the next species of gastric ulcer. Applicant reply filed December 8, 2009 has cancelled this species. The Examiner has extended prosecution to abnormal gastric motion as the next species for examination. Thus, claim 15 has been withdrawn.

Claim 15 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on October 25, 2006.

Claims

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 – Obviousness (New Rejection)

Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 14, 16-23 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (JP 58088323).

Kobayashi et al. disclose a nutritive composition for infants comprising amino acids such as L-lysine and L-arginine. Lysine comprises 7.14 to 9.66% of the total amino acids (0.357% to 1.546% of the total composition encompassing claim 18) and arginine comprises 4.33 to 5.85 % of the total amino acids. The amino acids comprise 5 to 16 weight % of the composition. Other amino acids include L-aspartic acid and L-glutamic acid, encompassing claims 6-10. It is preferred that some lysine and arginine are used as lysine glutamate and arginine glutamate. The compositions also include minerals, encompassing claim 22. The compositions are prepared as powders and are administered orally by dissolving in water or milk. The compositions are used for infantile diarrhea and dyspepsia, which is interpreted to encompass abnormal gastric motion (see Abstract). The reference differs from the instant claims insofar as it does not disclose the conditions are stress induced.

In KSR v. Telefex, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1397 (U.S. 2007), the Supreme Court has held that when there is market pressure to solve a problem and there are a finite number of identified, predictable solutions, a person has good reason to pursue known options within his or her technical grasp. Under these conditions, "obviousness to try" such options is permissible. In this instance, a market pressure exists in the

medical/pharmaceutical industries to treat stress induced orders such as abnormal gastric motion. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to have used the compositions of Kobayashi et al. to treat abnormal gastric motion induced by stress motivated by the desire to use a compositions disclosed in the art as being effective for treating conditions encompassed by gastric motion disorders such as dyspepsia.

In regard to claims 16 and 17, the reference discloses the amount of the lysine but does not disclose the dose of lysine. It would have been in the relative skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to have determined the effective amount of lysine needed to get the desired effect. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have administered 0.0001 to 13g/kg/body weight daily of a lysine motivated by the desire to obtain optimal efficacy of the disclosed composition.

Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 14, 16-23 and 30-32 are rejected.

Claims 15 and 24-26 are withdrawn.

No claims allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,783 Page 5

Art Unit: 1612

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEZAH W. ROBERTS whose telephone number is (571)272-1071. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick F. Krass can be reached on 571-272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,783 Page 6

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lezah W Roberts/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612

/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612